

Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached sheets of drawings includes changes to Figs. 4, 12 and 13. These sheets replace the original sheets including Figs. 4 12 and 13.

In Figure 4 a new reference numeral "20c" is added to designate the opening in the front of the frame 20, and the specification has been similarly amended.

In Figures 12 and 13 reference lines are corrected to extend to the intended structure.

Attachment: Replacement Sheets and Annotated Sheets Showing Changes

II. REMARKS

A. Introduction

In this Office Action, claims 1-19 are noted as pending, claims 7 and 13-19 are objected to and claims 1-19 are rejected.

In summary of this Response, the specification has been amended to correct grammatical and reference numeral errors, claims 1-4 are canceled, claims 5-13 and 18-19 are amended, and remarks are provided.

B. Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph

Claim 5 is rejected as including confusing terminology.

Claim 5 is amended herein to be consistent with Figs. 4 and 5 showing an opening in the front of the frame 20 to receive, e.g., the dispensing machine 10. Fig. 4 has been amended by adding a reference numeral "20c" to designate this opening and the specification at page 16, lines 10-11 have been similarly amended.

C. Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §112, Second Paragraph

As noted above, claims 1-4 are canceled herein. Nevertheless, it is believed that each of the remaining claims, most notably 1, 6, 8, 9, 11 and 12, have been amended to avoid these rejections.

D. Rejection of Claims 1-4, 10 and 11 Under 35 U.S.C. §102

These claims are rejected as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,996,841 to Marrocco. However, it is noted that claims 5, 6, 8, 9 and 12 are not rejected over prior art in this Office Action. The subject matter of claim 5 has been combined generally with the subject matter of claims 1 and 4, from which it previously depended. In addition, the remaining rejected claims 10 and 11 have been amended to depend from new independent claim 5. As a result, it is believed that rejected claims 10 and 11 are allowable over the art.

E. Allowability of the Claims

It is noted in the Action claims 7 and 13-19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the 112 rejections and to include all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, where necessary.

Claim 7 depends from new independent claim 5 addressed above and therefore should

also be allowable. Claims 13-19 have been amended where necessary to incorporate at least the subject matter of the claims from which they depended and therefore should also be allowable.

Finally, dependent claims 6, 8, 9 and 12 have not been rejected over prior art. As each of these claims ultimately depends from new independent claim 5 noted above, it is respectfully submitted that these claims are also now in allowable condition.

III. CONCLUSION

In light of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that claims 5-19 are now in condition for allowance.

If there are any additional fees associated with this Response, please charge same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this Response, the undersigned would appreciate a telephone conference with the Examiner to attend to these matters.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

By:

William F. Herbert
Registration No. 31,024

Date: 7/7/06


1201 New York Avenue, NW, 7th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501



4 / 19

Fig. 4

20






11 / 19

Fig. 12

200





12 / 19

Fig. 13

